Choosing the Right Spectrometer

NCNR

Peter Gehring
NIST Center for Neutron Research





Review: Main Messages of the Week

(1) Neutron scattering experiments measure the flux of neutrons scattered by a sample into a detector as a function of the change in neutron wave vector ($\vec{Q}$) and energy ($\hbar\omega$).

$$\Phi(Q,\hbar\omega) = \frac{\text{neutrons}}{\text{sec–cm}^2}$$

Momentum	Energy
$\hbar k_n = \hbar(2\pi/\lambda_n)$	$\hbar\omega_n = \hbar^2 k_n^2/2m$
$\hbar\vec{Q} = \hbar\vec{k}_i - \hbar\vec{k}_f$	$\hbar\omega = \hbar\omega_i - \hbar\omega_f$



(2) The expressions for the scattered neutron flux Φ depend on the positions and motions of atomic nuclei or unpaired electron spins.

$$\Phi = \mathbb{F}\{\vec{r}_i(t), \vec{r}_j(t), \vec{S}_i(t), \vec{S}_j(t)\}$$

Φ provides information about all of these quantities!

Review: Main Messages of the Week

(3) The scattered neutron flux $\Phi(\vec{Q},\hbar\omega)$ is proportional to the space ($\vec{r}$) and time (t) Fourier transform of the probability $G(\vec{r},t)$ of finding one or two atoms separated by a particular distance at a particular time.

$$\Phi \propto \frac{\partial^2\sigma}{\partial\Omega\partial\omega} \propto \iint e^{i(\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t)} G(\vec{r},t) d^3\vec{r}dt$$



Pop Quiz!

Question: Can one measure elastic scattering from a liquid?



Why? Why not?

Hint: What is the correlation in time of one atom in a liquid with another atom a distance r away?

Basics Elements of a Neutron Inelastic Scattering Experiment



A source

$(E_i, \vec{k}_i)$

A method to specify the incident wave vector $\vec{k}_i$

Detector(s)

$(E_f, \vec{k}_f)$

A well chosen sample + good idea

A method to specify the final wave vector $\vec{k}_f$

Neutron Source: Moderation

Maxwellian Distribution

$$\Phi \sim v^3 e^{(-mv^2/2k_BT)}$$





"Fast" neutrons: v = 20,000 km/sec

Methods of Specifying and Measuring $\vec{k}_i$ and $\vec{k}_f$

1. Bragg Diffraction

   BT7, MACS, HFBS



2. Time-of-Flight (TOF)

   DCS, HFBS



3. Larmor Precession

   NSE



The NCNR Menagerie of Instruments



Why So Many Different Spectrometers?

Because neutron scattering is an intensity-limited technique. Thus detector coverage and resolution MUST be tailored to the science.

Uncertainties in the neutron wavelength and direction imply **Q** and $\hbar\omega$ can only be defined with a finite precision.

The total signal in a scattering experiment is proportional to the resolution volume → better resolution leads to lower count rates! Choose *carefully* …





Courtesy of R. Pynn

Q-Resolution Matters!

The “right” resolution depends on what you want to study.









ħω-Resolution Matters!

Another example …

SPINS





Focusing Analyzer

Flat

Focusing Analyzer

5 Blades

Focusing Analyzer

9 Blades

How do I Choose the Right Spectrometer?

Two basic considerations:

1. What are the time scales ($\hbar\omega$) of interest?
2. What are the length scales (Q) of interest?

(Some spectrometers overlap → the choice may boil down to one of resolution)

Two additional considerations:

1. What energy resolution ($\Delta\hbar\omega$) is required?
2. What momentum resolution (ΔQ) is required?

Different Spectrometers Cover Different Regions of Phase Space



Do you see a pattern here?

Larger “objects” tend to exhibit slower motions.

Rules of Thumb

1. What are the energies ($\hbar\omega$), i.e. time scales ($\Delta t \sim 1/\omega$), of interest?

   $\hbar\omega >$ 10-20 meV - use a thermal triple-axis spectrometer like BT7.

   $\hbar\omega <$ 20-30 μeV - use HFBS or NSE.

   In between - use MACS or DCS or a cold-neutron triple-axis spectrometer like SPINS.

2. Make sure that the length scales **L** of the relevant motions lie within the range of the spectrometer. For example, consider the HFBS. ($\mathbf{Q} \sim 2\pi/\mathbf{L}$)

$$\mathbf{Q}_{min} = 0.25\ \text{Å}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{max} \sim 25\ \text{Å}$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_{max} = 1.75\ \text{Å}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{min} \sim 3.5\ \text{Å}$$

REMEMBER - $\mathbf{Q}_{min}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{max}$ are inversely proportional to the incident neutron wavelength

More Rules of Thumb

Is your sample polycrystalline or amorphous?

Does ONLY the magnitude (not the direction) of **Q** matter?

Is the expected **Q**-dependence of the scattering weak?

This often means that you want to look at a large region of **Q**-$\hbar\omega$ space, or that you can sum the data over a large region of **Q**-$\hbar\omega$ space.

YES? Consider instruments with large analyzer areas.

NO? Consider using BT7, SPINS, or NSE.

MACS

DCS

HFBS



BT7

Example: DCS versus BT7

DCS Broad surveys in **Q-ω**



BT7 Limited regions in **Q-ω**



Rules of Thumb: (think carefully before violating)

DCS, MACS – systems requiring resolution < 400 μeV

BT7 – single crystals

Things to Consider When Choosing DCS

ΔE



I(E)



Quantities varied

- wavelength λ
- chopper slot widths W

Remember – **Intensity** ↓

Resolution ↑

Things to Consider When Choosing BT7

Triple axis spectrometers are typically used when either -

(1) the *direction* of **Q** is important or

(2) the interesting region of **Q-ω** space is of *limited extent*.



One data point at a time …



Remember – **Intensity** ↓

Resolution ↑

Things to Consider When Choosing HFBS

$0.25\ \text{Å}^{-1} < \mathbf{Q} < 1.75\ \text{Å}^{-1}$

Do the length scales of interest lie within this Q-range?

$\delta\mathbf{Q} < 0.1 - 0.2\ \text{Å}^{-1}$

Can you live with such coarse Q-resolution?



Do the features of interest lie within this $\hbar\omega$-range?

Do you really require such good energy resolution $\delta E \sim 1\ \mu eV$ (or perhaps even better resolution)?

Things to Consider When Choosing NSE

If the hω-resolution of backscattering is "not good enough," or if you are only interested in a "limited" region of **Q**-space (typically small **Q**) …

… then use NSE (low **Q**, long times)

These cases typically involve coherent scattering, which tends to peak near

$$Q \sim \frac{2\pi}{\text{relevant length scale}}$$

Remember – slower motions usually imply longer length scales.

Many atoms moving together

→ Coherent scattering



General Sample “Design”

Know as much about your sample as possible!!
(Beamtime costs ~ $5000/day!!)

Other considerations:
What’s the structure (in a general sense)?
Are there any phase transitions (or a glass transition)?
What isotopes are present?
Supplementary data from other measurements …

Magnetization vs T

Muon spin relaxation

X-ray data

Specific heat vs T

Raman spectroscopy

General Sample “Design”

Try to avoid isotopes that are strongly absorbing.

^{6}Li ^{10}B ^{113}Cd ^{157}Gd

For a complete listing go to

http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/resources/n-lengths

Sample “Design” for Triple-Axis Spectrometers

Single crystals yield the most information.

Increase the intensity by increasing the amount of sample.

If you have a powder, use a cylindrical container (rather than flat plate).

Annular may be the best sample geometry.



Almost all experiments on triple-axis spectrometers involve coherent scattering
→ sample should be deuterated (if it contains H at all).

Sample "Design" for DCS and HFBS

Increase the intensity by increasing the amount of sample

→ Fill the beam with sample

The maximum beam size is usually given in the instrument description:

DCS: 3 cm x 10 cm (or 1.5 cm x 10 cm)

Backscattering: 3 cm x 3 cm

If your sample is a powder, use cylindrical samples (rather than a flat plate).
Remember - for incoherent, quasielastic scattering
the transmission of the beam should be ~90%.

$$I/I_o = \exp -(n\sigma_T D)$$

Often annular is the best sample geometry
(if your sample is absorbing).



Sample “Design” for DCS and HFBS

Does the sample contain H?

Remember: Neutrons LOVE H!!

Create a sample where -
the “interesting” portions are hydrogenated and
the “uninteresting” portions are deuterated.



Sample "Design" for NSE

Create a sample where the "interesting" portions of the sample have a different SLD than the "uninteresting" portions

Typically this means deuterating the major phase in order to reduce the incoherent background

SLD core	6.4×10^{-6} Å^{-2}
SLD shell	10.0×10^{-6} Å^{-2}
SLD solvent	6.1×10^{-6} Å^{-2}



http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/resources/sldcalc.html

Sample “Design” for NSE

Increase the intensity by increasing the amount of sample

→ Fill the beam with sample

Typically use flat plate for powder samples (because most NSE experiments occur at small angles)



$$I/I_o = \exp -(n\sigma_T D)$$

Rule of thumb - the transmission should be ~70%

Typical Distributions of Science by Instrument



Applying for Beam Time

Access to the neutron scattering instruments that you've used over the past week is merit-based. Open to all qualified users, but subject to an anonymous peer-review of proposals.

Calls for proposals are issued about twice/yr.

Next deadline for new proposals: June 16, 2015

Further information on submitting proposals :

http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/programs/CHRNS/CHRNS_prop.html

Some Summer School Success Stories

2001

Jae-Ho Chung
University Prof.

2003

Vicky Garcia-Sakai
ISIS Staff Scientist

1999

William Ratcliff
NCNR Staff Physicist

1997

Rob Dimeo
NCNR Director

Ok, so you can't win them all …

Acknowledgements

Organizers – Yun Liu and Yamali Hernandez

Administrative staff
Experiment teams
Invited speakers

Scatter Well!