Chain Conformation in Polymer Nanocomposites with Uniformly Dispersed Nanoparticles
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The effect of nanoparticles (NP) on chain dimensions in polymer melts has been the source of considerable theoretical and experimental controversy. We exploit our ability to ensure a spatially uniform dispersion of 13 nm silica NPs miscible in polystyrene melts, together with neutron scattering, x-ray scattering, and transmission electron microscopy, to show that there is no measurable change in the polymer size in miscible mixtures, regardless of the relative sizes of the chains and the nanoparticles, and for NP loadings as high as 32.7 vol%. Our results provide a firm basis from which to understand the properties of polymer nanocomposites.
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Polymer nanocomposites (PNC), formed by adding nanoparticles (NPs) to polymers, can have crucially improved physical properties relative to the pure polymer [1]. We focus here on a fundamental question—Do chain sizes change on adding NPs to a polymer and what controls this behavior? For very small NPs, the PNCs should be analogous to concentrated polymer solutions, where theories and experiments agree that polymer chains can expand, collapse, or be unaffected, depending upon the NP-solvent interaction and polymer concentration [2,3]. For PNCs, however, theories qualitatively disagree on the role of NPs on chain size [4–13], even in the athermal limit.

On the experimental side, there are multiple examples where neutron scattering has been used to characterize the polymer radius of gyration, $R_g$, in PNC: (i) 13 nm silica NPs did not change the $R_g$ of polystyrene (PS, both $R_g < R_p$ and $R_g > R_p$) for NP loadings as high as 27 vol%, but transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images showed poor NP dispersion [14,15]. (ii) Similarly, 13 nm NPs in polyethylene propylene did not change $R_g$ when $R_g < R_p$, but a 12% contraction occurred when $R_g > R_p$ at 50 vol% NP loading [16]. No TEM data were presented. Two studies using smaller NPs found significant chain expansions, perhaps signaling a crossover to solventlike behavior: (iii) A study [17] of PS chains with soft cross-linked PS NPs ($R_p = 2$ to 4 nm) found PNC miscibility and chain expansion by as much as 20% when $R_g \geq R_p$ at 10 vol% NP loading. The chains and NP were immiscible for $R_g < R_p$. (iv) Soft polysilicate NPs with radius $R_p = 1$ nm were found to expand polydimethylsiloxane chains by as much as 60% for NP loadings of 40 vol% when $R_g > R_p$ [18]. However, the quality of the NP spatial dispersions was not reported. Thus, the experimental results suggest no swelling for 13 nm diameter NPs, independent of the ratio of polymer $R_g$ to NP size, but good miscibility was not achieved; NP-polymer miscibility and significant swelling for 4–8 nm diameter NPs when $R_g \geq R_p$, and dramatic swelling of long chains in the presence of very small 2 nm diameter NPs when $R_g > R_p$. Importantly, it is implied that NP miscibility and polymer chain swelling are directly related, and the controlling parameter is the ratio $R_g/R_p$ [17,19].

This Letter remedies the major deficiency of the earlier studies for hard NPs by only dealing with PNCs with well-dispersed 13 nm diameter silica NPs, as shown by ultrathin section TEM images. We then combine small angle x-ray (SAXS) and neutron (SANS) scattering to definitively demonstrate that, for $R_p < R_g$, NPs have no measurable effect on polymer conformation, independent of polymer molecular weight and NP concentration. We do not examine cases with $R_g \leq R_p$ because, in agreement with a prior study [17], we find that these PNCs phase separate.

Table I lists the characteristics of the polymers used in our study. The reported diameter of 13 nm for the silica NPs (supplied by Nissan Chemical) is in good agreement with our dilute solution SAXS results (not shown), which also yield a silica NP polydispersity of 0.31. Separate solutions of PS and silica NPs in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), a theta to good solvent for PS [20,21], were mixed. Silica NPs are charged in DMF [21,22], and so charge stabilization may help in attaining good dispersion [23], although arguments showing it is not very important for 30 nm diameter (and smaller) NPs have been given [21]. A unique feature of our work is that the silica surfaces are treated with trimethoxyphenylsilane; thus, the surface hydroxyls are replaced with phenylsilane groups. The resulting silane surface coating density, determined by...
thermogravimetric analysis, was $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ g/m$^2$ (2.9 silanes/nm$^2$). These phenyl-containing end groups are more compatible with nonpolar PS, and are probably crucial to the excellent NP dispersions achieved (Fig. 1). Here, we also note that PS chains do not adsorb on bare silica in DMF [24]. SANS data for the silica NPs in mixtures of deuterated and hydrogenated isopropyl alcohol did not show the core-shell scattering that was found in Ref. [16] with different surface functional groups. This is probably due to the very thin silane surface layers on our NPs.

By using various mixtures of deuterated and hydrogenated isopropyl alcohol (contrast variation) we determined the neutron scattering length density (SLD) of the functionalized silica NPs to be $3.0 \times 10^{-6}$ Å$^{-2}$. The same NPs without the silane surface treatment had a measured SLD of $3.47 \times 10^{-6}$ Å$^{-2}$, consistent with fully dense silica (2.2 g/cm$^3$). The polymer matrices [68 vol% h-PS/32 vol% d-PS (SLD = $3.1 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-2}$)] were approximately contrast matched to the NPs. The polymer-silica solutions were cast as $\sim 300$ µm thick films on a glass plate heated to 100–120 °C to ensure rapid solvent evaporation. The films were then annealed for approximately 16 h at 140 °C in vacuum to remove residual solvent [25]. Several films were then stacked and hot pressed together to yield the final $\sim 1$ mm thick SANS samples. When the polymer $R_g > R_p$, uniform dispersions were obtained, while the dispersions showed clear evidence for fluid-fluid phase separation when $R_g \leq R_p$ [Fig. 1(e)] [21,26]. We do not have an explanation for why the low molecular weight mixtures phase separate and the high molecular weight mixtures do not. Theory suggests similar equilibrium phase behavior for both [26], so the difference may be due to slower phase separation kinetics at higher polymer molecular weights in the rapidly evaporating solvent [23]. However, we do not consider the phase-separated samples further. Several of the hot pressed samples exhibited small anisotropy in their 2D SANS patterns, but only at low $q$. SANS data were collected on beam line NG-7 at the NIST Center for Neutron Research and on beam lines CG-2 and CG-3 at the High Flux Isotope Reactor at Oak Ridge National Laboratory for $q = 4\pi \sin \theta /\lambda$ values from 0.001 to 0.5 Å$^{-1}$. SAXS data were collected over $q = 0.002$ to 0.5 Å$^{-1}$ on an insertion device beamline located at the DND-CAT sector of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory.

Figure 2 shows SANS and SAXS data for PNCs of 200 kDa PS with different NP loadings (Table I). The SANS data have been normalized by the volume fraction of polymer to collapse them onto a “universal”

| Molecular Weight ($M_w$, kDa) | Polymers and polymer nanocomposite compositions used in this study. The values for the polymer molecular weights and polydispersities were supplied by the manufacturers (Polymer Source and Varian). The $R_g$ values for the hydrogenated and deuterated polymers were determined by fits of the random phase approximation expression [3] for the $q$-dependent neutron scattering intensity (structure factor) to the SANS data for unfilled isotopic blends. | }
dependence expected at intermediate $q$ for Gaussian chains described by the Debye form factor. This shows that the contrast matching of the silica NPs and the polymer matrix reveals primarily single chain scattering at least for $q > 0.01 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$. This point is more clearly shown by comparing the SAXS data with the SANS data (Fig. 1). The scattering contrast for SAXS is due to the difference in electron density between the polymer matrix and the silica, and thus the intensity is proportional to the product of the form and structure factors for the silica nanoparticles only. Figure 2(b) shows that the SAXS intensities at high $q$ scale as $q^{-4}$ (Porod scattering) due to well defined NP-polymer interfaces. At low $q$ the SAXS intensity decreases with increasing NP loading, as expected for a hard sphere structure factor.

In contrast, the low $q$ SANS intensity increases with NP loading. This is unexpected since the NPs have been contrast matched to the polymer. A NP contribution to the scattering would be present, however, if the contrast match was not perfect, if the SLD of the silica nanoparticles was not homogenous [16], or if different NPs had slightly different SLD due to particle-to-particle variations. A small mismatch of the SLD would be less apparent at high $q$, where the NP scattering is rapidly decreasing as $I(q) \sim q^{-4}$, as seen for the SAXS data (Fig. 2). If the NP are indeed contrast matched, then the trend that the SANS intensity increases with silica concentration at low $q$ likely originates from changes of the polymer structure factor, similar to predictions based upon the PRISM theory [27]. The increase of the SANS intensity at low $q$ might then suggest that the system is close to phase separation driven by the NP-polymer interactions. The phase separation observed for the low molecular weight polymers would then be a manifestation of this tendency. (It should be noted that the molecular weights of the polymers we have studied are too low to lead to phase separation due to isotopic
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substitution [28]). Further work is needed to understand this phenomenon in detail, but its presence even in the better dispersions invalidates the use of a simple Guinier analysis of the SANS data to extract values for polymer $R_g$. Instead, as in several previous studies [14,16], we use the intermediate $q$ SANS data to evaluate the role of silica NPs on $R_g$.

Figure 3 (inset) shows Kratky plots $[q^2I(q) \text{ vs } q]$ of the SANS data for one set of PNCs with different concentra-
tions of silica NP. The raw intensity data were first divided by the volume fraction of polymer, and then the incoherent scattering subtracted [29]. The Kratky plots show plateaus at intermediate $q$, clearly demonstrating that the polymer chains always remain Gaussian. In addition, since the Kratky plateaus are independent of silica concentration, it then follows that the values of chain statistical segment length (proportional to the inverse of the square root of the plateau) and the $R_g$ are also constant. This is our primary result (Fig. 3). Figure 3 also shows that these results are reproducible on two different SANS instruments at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

We now attempt to reconcile our results with the widely varying literature trends discussed in the Introduction. We first note that all the data on hard NPs of diameter $\sim 13$ nm [14–16], appear to be internally consistent and show either no, or at most small, changes in polymer size on the addition of NPs up to 50 vol%. However, these previous studies utilized samples that either had poor or uncharac-
terized states of filler dispersion. Our study goes beyond these earlier measurements by using well dispersed hard NPs, as demonstrated by TEM and SAXS, providing conv-
ing evidence that in this size range $1.9 < R_g/R_p < 3.9$ (Table I) there are no changes in chain dimensions. For smaller $R_g/R_p$ the NPs apparently are not miscible with the polymer, in agreement with Refs. [17,19]. In reference [17] as much as 20% chain expansion was found for samples whose $R_g/R_p$ ratios varied between 1.6 and 5.7. In Ref. [18] greater than 60% chain expansion was found for $R_g/R_p$ values equal to 6 and 8, while less expansion, or
even contraction, was found for \( R_g/R_p \) values of 3 and 6. The significant chain swelling observed in Refs. [17–19] for 2 to 8 nm NPs, and the absence of chain swelling for 13 nm NPs in PNC with similar \( R_g/R_p \) ratios, shows that the value of this ratio alone does not control chain swelling. Thus, we must conclude that polymer \( R_g \) is not the relevant length scale below which NPs swell polymer chains. Whether the swelling induced by very small NPs signals a crossover to solventlike behavior remains an open question.
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The incoherent background was determined by requiring that the scattering remaining after background subtraction followed the \( 1/q^2 \) dependence from 0.05 to 0.2 Å\(^{-1} \) expected for pure chain scattering.