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Angularly divergent white light source.
Angularly collimated white beam.
Collimated white beam specularly reflected.
Monochromatic, collimated beam specularly reflected.
"Specular" or "Mirror" Reflection of a Wave

Angle of Incidence $\theta_i$ = Angle of Reflection $\theta_r$
REFRACTION OF A LIGHT WAVE

\[ \eta_2 = \frac{1}{\eta_1} \quad \text{(for vacuum)} \]

\[ \eta_1 \sin \theta_1 = \eta_2 \sin \theta_2 \]

\[ \eta_2 = 1.581 \quad \text{(certain glass)} \]

- Wave speed: \( c = \frac{c_0}{\eta} \quad \text{(vacuum)} \)
- Wavelength: \( \lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta} \)
- Wave vector: \( k = \eta k_0 = \frac{2\pi v}{c} \)
- Frequency: \( v = \text{constant} \)

Refractive index depends on material and wavelength of the light.
Figure 44-10. Geometrical relations among object distance $s$, image distance $s'$, and focal length $f$.
(from Weidner & Sells, Elementary Classical Physics)

\[
\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'}
\]

\[
h/s = h'/s'
\]

The ratio of image-object distances, $s'/s$, is equal to the ratio of in object sizes, $h'/h$. This ratio $h'/h$ is known as the lateral magnification.
Flea head, 170 x magnification, scanning electron microscope, [www.uq.edu.au](http://www.uq.edu.au) (University of Queensland, Australia, Center for Microscopy and Microanalysis).
Atomic resolution micrograph of multiply-twinned nanocrystalline film of Si. (C. Song)
Figure 2.5-6 Interference of two spherical waves of equal intensities originating at the points $P_1$ and $P_2$. The two waves can be obtained by permitting a plane wave to impinge on two pinholes in a screen. The light intensity at an observation plane a distance $d$ away takes the form of a sinusoidal pattern with period $\approx \lambda/\theta$.

Diffraction pattern which results from the coherent superposition of two waves (amplitudes of the two waves add together at any given point in space).

A characteristic reciprocal relationship exists between the positions of the intensity maxima in the diffraction pattern and the distance separating the objects causing the scattering.
Wave interference patterns produced by monochromatic laser light diffracting through a triple slit aperture for various intensities – L. Page (www.vias.org/physics). This is a dramatic illustration of wave-particle duality.
X-ray transmission image.
Am I an X-ray photon...? Or a radio photon? Or visible?

Oh hell...! Why worry about all that again...? I'm not even sure if I'm a wave or a particle!

PHOTON SELF-IDENTITY PROBLEMS

(abyss.uoregon.edu)
FCC aluminum crystal structure - colorado.edu.

Electron diffraction pattern for a single alum crystal – H.J. Milledge, Department of Geology, University College, London.
X-ray diffraction pattern for DNA obtained by Rosalind Franklin.
X-ray diffraction pattern from a protein, lectin, found in peas – CCLRC Synchrotron Radiation Source, Daresbury Laboratory, UK.
Reconstruction of atomic groups in the molecular structure of lectin, an important sugar-binding protein that plays a role in biological recognition phenomena within a living cell, based on x-ray diffraction measurements (prasthofer_pea_lectin_mmdimage.fcg.png).
PROBES OF THE MICROSTRUCTURE OF SURFACES AND INTERFACES

photons, electrons, neutrons, atom and ion beams, miniature mechanical devices

* DIRECT IMAGING (REAL SPACE)
  
e.g.:
  - optical microscopy (~ 1000 x magnification)
  - scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (orders of magnitude higher magnification than possible with light)
  - transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
  - atomic force microscopy (AFM)

* DIFFRACTION (RECI ProCyAL SPACE)
  
e.g.:
  - low energy electron diffraction (LEED)
  - spin polarized LEED (SPEED)
  - reflection high energy electron diffraction (RHEED)
  - ellipsometry (optical polarimetry)
  - x-ray reflectometry
  - neutron reflectometry

For quantitative measurements of depth profiles along a normal to the surface, x-ray and neutron reflectometry are particularly useful because of their relatively weak interactions with condensed matter and the fact that these interactions can be described accurately by a comparatively simple theory. In the case of electron diffraction, on the other hand, the potential is non-local and the scattering is non-spherical, relatively strong and highly energy-dependent. For atom diffraction, the description of the interaction potential can be even more complicated.
THE NEUTRON AS A PLANE WAVE PROPAGATING IN FREE SPACE

\[ \Psi \propto e^{i \vec{k}_0 \cdot \vec{r}} \]

\[ \Psi \propto \cos(k_0z) + i \sin(k_0z) \]

\[ |\Psi|^2 \propto \text{PROBABILITY OF THE NEUTRON BEING THERE} \]
\[ Q = 2k_0z \]

From the wave equation, it is possible to find a solution for the reflection amplitude in integral form (see article pages):

\[ r(q) = \frac{4\pi}{iQ} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(z) \rho(z) e^{i k_0 z} \, dz \]

What is localized at \( z \) in the SLD profile \( \rho(z) \) in "real" space, is distributed over the reflection amplitude \( r(q) \) in the related scattering or "reciprocal" space.
\( \psi(z) \) inside the medium is generally unknown:

**Born Approximation replaces \( \psi(z) \) with the incident wave function \( e^{i k_0 z} \) based on the assumption that \( \psi(z) \) is not significantly distorted from the free space form (weak interaction):** Then

\[
\Gamma(Q) \approx \frac{4\pi i}{iQ} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho(z) e^{iQz} \, dz
\]

For a real potential \( \rho(z) \)

\[
\text{Re} \, \Gamma(Q) \approx \frac{4\pi}{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho(z) \sin(Qz) \, dz
\]
ARBITRARY POTENTIAL DIVIDED INTO RECTANGULAR SLABS OF WIDTH \( d \) AND CONSTANT \( P \)

THEN

\[
\text{Re} \, r(Q) \approx \frac{4\pi}{Q} \int_0^L p(z) \sin(Qz) \, dz
\]

(BORN APPROX)

BECOMES

\[
\text{Re} \, r(Q_j) \approx \frac{4\pi}{Q_j} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \int_{(\ell-1)d}^{\ell d} p_\ell \sin(Q_j z) \, dz
\]

\[
= -\frac{4\pi}{Q_j^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} p_\ell \left[ \cos(Q_j z) \right]_{(\ell-1)d}^{\ell d}
\]

\[
\text{Re} r_1 = c_{11} P_1 + c_{12} P_2 + \ldots + c_{1N} P_N
\]

\[
\text{Re} r_2 = c_{21} P_1 + c_{22} P_2 + \ldots + c_{2N} P_N
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\text{Re} r_N = c_{N1} P_1 + c_{N2} P_2 + \ldots + c_{NN} P_N
\]

\[
\text{Set of } \text{Re} \, r \text{ for different values of } Q \text{ or } q
\]

SOLVE SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS FOR \( p_\ell \) GIVEN \( \text{Re} r \)’s

E.G., SVD, EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FORMULATION, ...
\[ \text{Re} \ r_{BA}(Q) \left[ \frac{Q^2}{8\pi \sin(Qd/2)} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \sin \left[ \frac{(2j-1)Qd}{2} \right] \]

\[ \equiv I(Q) \]

\[
\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin m\theta \sin n\theta \, d\theta = \begin{cases} 
0 & m, n \text{ integers, } m \neq n \\
\frac{\pi}{2} & m, n \text{ integers, } m = n
\end{cases}
\]

ORTHOGONALITY

\[
p_j = \frac{d}{4\pi^2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \text{Re} \ r_{BA}(Q) \frac{\sin \left[ \frac{(2j-1)Qd}{2} \right]}{\sin \left( \frac{Qd}{2} \right)} \, dQ
\]
Polarized neutron reflectometer/diffractometer at the NIST Center for Neutron Research.
Diblock copolymer lamellar nanostructures – R.Jones, B.Berry, and K.Yager (NIST Polymer Division) and S.Satija, J.Dura, B.Maranville et al. (NCNR).

Fig 1. Side-view scanning-electron micrograph of laser-interferometry-produced silicon substrate with 400 nm channels, spaced by 400 nm for a total repeat distance of 800 nm.
Neutron diffraction from silicon with channels but without polymer.
Fig 2. Diagram of expected orientation of lamellae, based on Silicon substrate with etched channels is displayed in gray, with corresponding to the two polymer components of the lamellae.
Neutron diffraction from Si channels filled with ordered diblock copolymer.
Figure 37  Triangular lattice of flux lines through top surface of a superconducting cylinder. The points of exit of the flux lines are decorated with fine ferromagnetic particles. The electron microscope image is at a magnification of 8300x. (Courtesy of U. Essmann and H. Träuble.)
SANS diffraction pattern of vortex lattice in superconducting Nb – J.Lynn et al..
Vortex Lattice Dynamics in Niobium