Molecular H₂ trapped in AlH₃ solid
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Abstract

Solid aluminum hydride, AlH₃, has been proposed and studied for applications in hydrogen storage. In some samples, a comparatively narrow feature in the proton NMR spectrum is observed; we demonstrate here that this peak is due to molecular hydrogen (H₂) trapped within the solid, presumably from earlier processing procedures or partial decomposition. Static and magic-angle spinning NMR show that the responsible species is highly mobile, even at 11 K. Neutron-energy-gain spectra obtained at 3.5 K yield a feature at or near the free-rotor H₂ energy difference between the J = 1 and J = 0 states. Both NMR and neutron scattering demonstrate ortho–para conversion at low temperatures. Similar NMR signatures in other hydrogen-storage solids such as NaAlH₄ may also be due to trapped H₂.
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1. Introduction

Aluminum hydride (AlH₃) has been discussed and studied recently for hydrogen-storage applications [1–3]. Depending on preparation conditions, several AlH₃ polymorphs with different structures can be produced, where the most stable polymorph is denoted as the α-phase [4]. These hydrides can decompose into aluminum metal and hydrogen gas:

\[ \text{AlH}_3 \rightarrow \text{Al} + \frac{3}{2}\text{H}_2, \]  

yielding 10% of the initial mass as hydrogen gas. While the large hydrogen content is attractive, these AlH₃ phases cannot be regenerated simply by putting an overpressure of H₂ above the Al metal reaction product unless extremely high pressure is used [1]. Thus, this system would require off-vehicle regeneration.

We note that reaction (1) is very weakly endothermic [5]; thermodynamically, the reaction will drive in the indicated direction (dehydriding) unless H₂ pressures of order 10⁵ bar are present. Hence, the observation that AlH₃ solid does not rapidly decompose at room temperature must result from kinetic inhibition. Heating to 100–150 °C does produce rapid decomposition [1–3,5].

Proton NMR spectra of some samples of AlH₃ reveal a motionally narrowed component, in sharp contrast to the rigid, dipolar-broadened spectrum of the solid AlH₃ component. Indeed, Zogal et al. reported such a feature in spectra produced by both continuous-wave [6] and pulsed [7] methods years ago, which they attributed to molecular hydrogen (H₂) adsorbed on surfaces of the hydride particles [7]. Similar narrow NMR components have been reported [8–14] in related hydrogen-storage systems such as NaAlH₄. Here we present proton NMR spectra obtained over a broad temperature range and neutron-energy-gain spectra at 3.5 K. Together, they conclusively demonstrate that the motionally narrowed signal is from H₂ trapped in the AlH₃ solid,
presumably during earlier processing or from partial decomposition.

2. Description of samples

Aluminum hydride from two sources was used in these studies. First, “Dow/BNL” material was obtained through J. Graetz and J.J. Reilly of Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL). It had been originally prepared at the Dow Chemical Company as described by Brower et al. [4] as a possible component in solid propellants for rocket motors and had been stored at BNL for approximately 30 years [1,15]. The second material was “Russian/UTRC” and had been originally obtained from an unspecified Russian source by United Technologies Research Center (UTRC) and provided to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) for NMR characterizations [16]. No further information on the provenance of this material is available. At JPL and Caltech, both materials were stored under argon; at WU and NIST, a dry N2 atmosphere was used. We note that the Dow material was probably exposed to air during most of its 30-year storage.

X-ray diffraction was used at JPL to confirm the identity of each material as α-phase AlH3 [17], while 27Al NMR spectra indicated each also contained a small amount of Al metal [15,16]. SEM micrographs [3] showed that the Dow/BNL material was in the form of cubes of roughly 50–100 μm length on a side, with many tunnels or small holes showing on each face as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The most prominent openings are of order 5 μm in diameter; there are probably numerous smaller ones that are too small to resolve. In contrast, the SEM image in Fig. 1(b) for the Russian/UTRC powder reveals smaller and more symmetric particles with mostly smooth surfaces. Hence, the morphologies and, presumably, microstructures reflect significant differences from the preparation and processing treatments used during the synthesis of these different batches of α-phase AlH3. There was no evidence of surface coatings from either 13C NMR or IR spectroscopy; in the Dow/BNL sample, 27Al solid-state NMR indicated the presence of aluminum oxides [15,16].

3. Results and discussion

Static proton NMR spectra of the Dow/BNL sample of AlH3 appear in Fig. 2. The sample was loaded into the magic-angle spinning (MAS) probe of a Bruker DSL-500 spectrometer [18] operating at 11.7 T, but with the spinning gas off. At a recycle delay of 300 s, a signal from the rigid solid (approximately 65 kHz FWHM) and a narrow signal appear. At 1 s recycle delay, the solid AlH3 signal is absent while the narrow signal remains at the same intensity. Both the narrowness of the line and its short relaxation time T1 demonstrate that the sharp signal is from a mobile species (i.e., rapidly rotating and, possibly, translationally mobile). From the relative peak areas of the narrow-to-broad components in fully relaxed spectra, it was estimated that ~3.2% of the total proton content contributes to the sharp peak [15]. Similar measurements on the Russian/UTRC sample show essentially no evidence for the sharp resonance
peak. Throughout this work, the sharp signal is labeled “H₂”, identification which is proven below.

Magic-angle spinning NMR at rotational frequencies from 6 to 14 kHz yields further narrowing of the sharp “H₂” signal, but little narrowing of the broad AlH₃ signal [15]. The “H₂” peak is centered at 4.4 ppm relative to the standard reference compound tetramethyilsilane (TMS) and has a FWHM of approximately 0.22 ppm. Variable-temperature MAS-NMR experiments show the peak to be present from the lowest temperature surveyed (i.e., 208 K) up to 423 K, where the sample decomposes rapidly [16]. The persistence of the sharp signal to 423 K rules out adsorbed H₂ (indeed strong adsorption of H₂ even at room temperature). The signal from the AlH₃ solid over this temperature range, demonstrating the mobility of this species even at cryogenic temperatures. Over this range, the T₁ of the “H₂” signal remained below 0.2 s, an additional indication of high rotational mobility. These data are only consistent with molecular H₂. We note that bulk H₂ boils at 20.2 K and freezes [22] at 14 K; motional narrowing of the bulk solid H₂ NMR signal [23,24] from translational self-diffusion is apparent above 10 K.

Fig. 3(b) presents the time-domain signal at 11 K (FID, free induction decay) following a single π/2 rf pulse. The signal from solid AlH₃ is initially off-scale and shows oscillations (i.e., dipolar Lowe beats [25]). After 70 μs, the solid signal has fully decayed and only the “H₂” signal remains. At higher temperatures where the “H₂” spectrum is narrower, a clean distinction between the two signals is even more evident. Below 11 K, the frequency broadening of the “H₂” signal makes such an AlH₃ versus “H₂” distinction less clear.

In detail, the frequency spectra of Fig. 3(a) were obtained by eliminating the rapidly decaying AlH₃ solid signal from the FIDs by using a Gaussian extrapolation of the “H₂” signal back to zero time, with subsequent Fourier transformation. The “H₂” signal amplitude M was also monitored as a function of temperature, T; the product MT is expected to be constant (Curie law nuclear spin susceptibility). However, the experimental MT product gradually decreased by about a factor of three upon cooling to 20 K, suggesting that the rotational motion of some H₂ molecules froze-out at higher temperatures. Conversion of ortho-H₂ to para-H₂, as discussed below, may also be involved.

Molecular H₂ appears in two forms [22], ortho-H₂ with total nuclear spin I = 1 (two proton spins parallel) and molecular rotation quantum number J = 1, 3, 5... and para-H₂ with I = 0 (anti-parallel proton spins) and even values of J. The distinction is a result of the requirement that the overall molecular wavefunction invert in sign upon interchange of the identical hydrogen nuclei (Fermions). The energy of each state (unperturbed, as in the gas or liquid or even bulk H₂ solid) is

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} J(J + 1) = BJ(J + 1),$$

where $B/\hbar = 85.6$ K. The large value of B reflects the fact that H₂ has the shortest chemical bond length known and the lightest nuclear masses, providing a very small moment of inertia, I.

At low temperatures (below 25 K), thermal equilibrium would have essentially all H₂ as J = 0 para-H₂. However, conversion between ortho and para is very slow, requiring a magnetic field gradient (to cause a relative precession of the two proton spins) oscillating at the J = 0 to J = 1 frequency, $\Delta E/\hbar$. In solid H₂ at low temperatures, the magnetic field gradient is provided by a neighbor ortho-H₂. Thus the conversion follows bimolecular kinetics [22]:

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = -kc^2,$$

where c is the fraction of ortho-H₂ and k has been measured to be 0.019 h⁻¹.

Room-temperature proton NMR spectra of the Dow/BNL sample of AlH₃ appear in Fig. 4. The more intense spectrum was obtained before the sample was cooled, so the ortho-H₂ concentration c is 0.75, the high-temperature equilibrium value.

Fig. 3. Proton NMR (static) of the Dow/BNL AlH₃ sample at low temperatures and 354 MHz. (a) Frequency spectra of the narrow H₂ component at 77, 31, and 11 K. (b) The proton NMR FID; at early times, the (off-scale) rapidly decaying solid signal is evident. The H₂ signal is the component remaining after 70 μs.
After 4.0 days of immersion of the sample (in a sealed glass tube) in liquid-helium in a storage dewar, the sample tube was removed; the resulting NMR spectrum had approximately one-half the initial intensity (recall para-H$_2$ is $J=0$ and yields no NMR signals). The measurements in Fig. 4 were performed only after verifying that the NMR equipment’s sensitivity was unchanged, using a second sample that always remained at room temperature. Integration of Eq. (3) yields

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{1}{c_0} + kt,$$

where $c_0$ is the initial concentration, 0.75. Using the value [22] of $k$ for bulk solid H$_2$, the concentration should fall by a factor of two in 3.1 days. The observed conversion rate is thus in reasonable accord with that of bulk solid H$_2$. We note that any re-conversion during the warm-up of the sample could explain the slightly smaller apparent rate of conversion.

Neutron scattering measurements were performed on the Fermi–Chopper time-of-flight spectrometer at the NIST Center for Neutron Research using an incident neutron wavelength of 4.8 Å. The neutron-energy-gain spectrum of Dow/BNL AlH$_3$ powder at 3.5 K appears in Fig. 5. Because the temperature is very low compared to the energy gains involved (recall that 14.7 meV corresponds to the 171 K energy difference between the unperturbed $J=0$ and $J=1$ states), the background scattering is extremely small. The only excited states available to produce the narrow component in Fig. 4 implies a nominal pressure of at least 750 bar in these bubbles. This pressure corresponds to the lower limit found for H$_2$ bubbles with dimensions of ~8–15 nm in heavily irradiated LiH [29]. We could not specify locations (i.e., within the bulk hydride, in or near oxide layers, etc.; $^{27}$Al NMR determined Al$_2$O$_3$ should be about 8% of total aluminum) nor reliably estimate the size distribution of the bubbles in the Dow/BNL sample from the proton relaxation times or SEM images but suspect that the H$_2$ bubbles would be similar to those seen in Al metal [27,30]. It may be possible to determine the bubble locations and sizes via transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or small angle neutron scattering (SANS); however, such measurements were outside the scope of the present studies.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the Dow/BNL sample of AlH$_3$ shows a sharp NMR line with short $T_1$, from 423 K to below 11 K. The only species which could remain so mobile at such low temperatures is molecular H$_2$. Further, the H$_2$ must be physically trapped in the
material, possibly in cavities formed during slow decomposition, because molecular H$_2$ could not remain adsorbed up to 423 K. Further confirmation of the H$_2$ formation comes from the chemical shift value from MAS-NMR and from the observation of neutron-energy-gain peaks near the 14.7 meV (unperturbed) J = 1/2 J = 0 transition. The observation of ortho–para conversion in the NMR and neutron spectra provide still more evidence that the responsible species is H$_2$. We note that the H$_2$ energy levels may be substantially different than in bulk solid H$_2$, as indicated by the gradual freeze-out of normalized NMR signal intensity MT and by the broad non-bulk peak in the neutron spectrum. The α-AlH$_3$ material from the Russian/UTRC source shows neither NMR nor neutron scattering evidence of bulk molecular hydrogen. Proton static and MAS spectra have also been obtained on numerous freshly prepared (i.e., aged from a few days to weeks) batches of different AlH$_3$ polymorphs from U. Hawaii, Institute for Energy Technology (Norway) and BNL. Initially, the proton spectra of these samples did not indicate any sharp “H$_2$” peaks. However, MAS-NMR indicated concurrent formation of Al metal and H$_2$ gas (with a shift at 4.4 ppm) from the more unstable α/β phases with time or heating. From the serial acknowledgments in Refs. [6,7] to the paper by Baranowski and Tkacz [31] who cited their source of AlH$_3$ material as being provided by J.J. Reilly at BNL, the lot of Dow/BNL AlH$_3$ studied in the present work is the same as used by Zogal et al. [6,7] when they observed the sharp “H$_2$” peak in their NMR spectra. In contrast to the supposition made by Zogal et al. [7] that this hydrogen is adsorbed on particle surfaces, we believe the preponderance of experimental evidence is more consistent with the H$_2$ molecules trapped within high pressure (i.e., ≥750 bar) bubbles for this lot of AlH$_3$ made by Dow.

In closing, we note that other hydrogen-storage materials (e.g., such as NaAlH$_4$) have been reported [8–14] to show similar sharp NMR peaks. While we suspect that trapped molecular H$_2$ may also be present in some of these materials, the peak could arise from residual solvents. However, the proton chemical shifts of these solvents are not at ~4.4 ppm [15]. In any particular material, experiments similar to those reported here would be required for unambiguous identification.
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